

**MINUTES
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MEETING OF NOVEMBER 25, 2013**

At a regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, held on the 25th day of November, 2013, beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Conference Room of the County Administration Building, order was called with the following present:

T. J. Moskalski, Chairman
O. O. Williams, Vice-Chairman
C. T. Redd III
S. K. Greenwood
T. S. Stone

T. L. Funkhouser, County Administrator
D. M. Stuck, County Attorney

RE: REVIEW OF MEETING AGENDA

Chairman, T. J. Moskalski called the Board of Supervisors meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and agenda changes were discussed.

There was general discussion of the meeting agenda items.

The Board recessed and moved to the Board Meeting Room of the County Administration Building to continue the meeting.

Chairman Moskalski called the meeting back to order at 7:30 p.m.

RE: APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA

On motion by T. S. Stone, seconded by O. O. Williams, with the following roll call vote, the Board adopted the agenda for this meeting as presented by the County Administrator, with the following changes: under item 8 Consent Agenda – item 8d Resolution #13-61 Conveyance of Real Property to King William County from Resource Conservation Partners, Inc. was moved and becomes item 17; item 14 Closed Meeting becomes item 16; item 15 Appointments becomes item 14; item 16 Board of Supervisors' Comments now becomes item 15; and item 17 Adjournment becomes item 18.

Those members voting:

S. K. Greenwood	Aye
T. S. Stone	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye

**RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF 3
MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC
HEARING MATTERS**

The Chairman opened the First Public Comment Period. The Chairman noted this is a public comment period on non-public hearing matters. He added that the public hearing on Conditional Use Permit 03-13 was previously heard and closed; if anyone wishes to speak on this matter they may do so at this time.

1. Richard McMath, of 223 Newman Drive in Aylett, said he does not oppose cell towers but he does oppose the tower proposed to be built close to his house. He said he has tried to work with the applicant for an alternate location for the tower but in his opinion the applicant feels there is only one option and they are not open to any other suggestions. He is concerned the value of his property will drop because the tower will be in his back yard. He urged the Board to deny the application to build the tower at the proposed location.

2. Helen Zottoli, of 908 Commins Road in Aylett, feels many additional concerns have not been approached; one in particular she feels the cell tower will be a magnet for children. She also is concerned with reported health risks. She urged the Board to come up with guidelines for the siting of cell towers; particularly in residential areas. She remembers when there was talks of a motocross being built many voiced they did not want it built in their neighborhood and it was voted down. She urged the Board not to build the tower in her back yard.

3. Rick Crabtree, President of the Mangohick Volunteer Fire Department, thanked the County for the support for the past 22 years. He proposed a meeting between the County and the membership of the Mangohick Department to address the past, present and future for King William County volunteers and emergency services.

4. Henry Bayne, of 191 Newman Drive, opposes a cell tower being built so close to his house. He has concerns with the reported RF signals emitted from towers. He feels the time has come for the Board members to step up and do the right thing, vote the tower down or have it moved to a different location.

5. John Palmer, of 1017 Commins Road, feels the concerns with this proposed tower has always been about location. He has concerns the homeowners

around the proposed tower site have been ignored by the applicant and property owner. He urged the Board to either deny the conditional use permit or insist the tower be placed at a minimum of 400 feet from adjacent property lines and 1,200 feet from any home.

6. Virginia Orrock, of 281 Newman Drive, said she moved to King William for a country setting that did not include the view of a cell tower from her kitchen window and patio. She feels the property owner of the proposed tower site considers the construction of a tower is small and minute, in her opinion adjacent property owners do not have the same feeling. She appreciates the support from other property owners in the County that have concerns about changes that possibly could occur to their property in the future. She is dissatisfied she received an email question from the Planning Director today; she feels this could have been addressed prior to the day of this meeting. She urged the Board to take all information regarding this matter into consideration and to vote in a way we all can benefit from and live peacefully.

7. Drew Haynes, of 226 Newman Drive, opposes the construction of a cell tower at the proposed location. He said perhaps public lands should be considered for the placement of cell towers and suggested revenue would be generated and could benefit many citizens, versus just a few. He feels several questions have been left unanswered such as can additional towers be built in this area and how many.

8. John Pierce, of 54 Braxton Drive in Aylett, opposes the proposed location of the communication tower. He feels a tower will devalue the surrounding property and will affect the adjoining property owners. He questioned why the recommendation of denial of this permit by the Planning Commission was not honored.

9. Laura Dunlevy, of 1265 Commins Road in Aylett, opposes the proposed site of the communication tower. In her opinion these types of towers propose health risks. She questioned why the property owner of the proposed site does not build the tower in his own back yard. She urged the Board to vote against this proposal.

10. Jim Orrock, of 281 Newman Drive in Aylett Farms, thanked the Board for hearing the concerns of the citizens on the proposed communication tower. He said his main concern is why the individual property owners in this area were not given the

same respect as historical properties. He feels there should be an ordinance in place to protect longtime residents of the County. In his opinion a vote on this proposed tower site is premature and should be reviewed more thoroughly. He feels all alternate locations for the tower have not been considered. He also is concerned how this will affect the property values in his neighborhood.

11. Steve Tupponce, 309 Tyler Trail in Aylett, feels cell phone coverage is an issue in the Aylett area. He said he is also a small business owner in the County that provides wireless service. He feels the current service available to users is not usable in some cases and needs to be addressed. He said better service will be beneficial to the schools in King William. He is not advocating the tower be built at the proposed location but he is in favor of a tower being built to enhance services in the Aylett area.

12. Liz Newton, 383 Courtney Lane in Aylett, as a property owner close to the proposed tower site has concerns with the location. She is amazed at the beauty of the well-kept properties in the Aylett Farms subdivision and feels the tower should not be built in this area. She urged the Board to vote against the proposed conditional use permit.

13. Beatrice Palmer, of 1017 Commins Road in Aylett, thanked the community for the support against the proposed communication tower site location. She feels the presentations presented are misleading with regards to the stated coverage in the proposed location for the tower. She also has concerns with the certified soil survey submitted as part of the application. She requested the Board deny the application. Further she asked the Board to consider guidelines be put in place for cell towers in King William County.

There being no other persons to appear before the Board the Chairman closed the First Public Comment Period.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

On motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by T. S. Stone, with the following roll call vote, the Board approved the following items on its Consent Agenda:

- a. Minutes:
 - i. Regular meeting of October 28, 2013.

b. Claims against the County for the month of November, 2013, in the amount of \$826,898.21 as follows:

(1) General Fund Warrants #78639-78677 in the amount of \$37,903.45 and #78687-78729 in the amount of \$159,839.10; ACH Direct Payments #4293-4340 in the amount of \$98,348.85 and #4350-4392 in the amount of \$114,749.47; Direct Deposits #18696-18837 in the amount of \$190,929.05; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$73,932.70.

(2) For informational purposes, Social Services expenditures for the month of October, 2013, Warrants #309868-309910 in the amount of \$34,853.66; ACH Direct Payments #1025-1046 in the amount of \$12,721.58; Direct Deposits #3101-3119 in the amount of \$29,965.56; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$12,100.39.

(3) For informational purposes, Comprehensive Services Act Fund expenditures for the month of October, 2013, Warrants #78634-78638 in the amount of \$9,561.40; and ACH Direct Payments #4280-4292 in the amount of \$51,993.00.

(4) There were no Tax Refunds for the month of November, 2013.

c. Resolution #13-60 – Adoption of the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Budget Calendar was approved.

RESOLUTION #13-60
Adoption of the
Fiscal Year 2014-2015
Budget Calendar

WHEREAS, it is necessary for the Board of Supervisors to adopt a Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Budget Calendar; and

WHEREAS, the proposed dates and times for the FY 14-15 Budget Calendar are as follows:

<u>Date (Time):</u>	<u>Purpose:</u>
Friday, January 3, 2014	Budget Requests Submittal Deadline
Monday, January 27, 2014* (7:00 p.m.)	Regular Board Meeting
Tuesday, January 28, 2014 (7:00 p.m.)	Town Hall Meeting (Mangohick) @ MVFD
Thursday, January 30, 2014 (7:00 p.m.)	Town Hall Meeting (Manquin) @ KWHS
Tuesday, February 4, 2014 (7:00 p.m.)	Town Hall Meeting (Aylett) @ KWVFD

Thursday, February 6, 2014 (7:00 p.m.)	Town Hall Meeting (Courthouse/Sweethall) @ Board Chambers
Tuesday, February 11, 2014 (7:00 p.m.)	Town Hall Meeting (West Point) @ West Point Town Hall
Monday, February 24, 2014* (7:00 p.m.)	Regular Board Meeting
Friday, March 7, 2014	Draft Budget Delivered to Board of Supervisors
Thursday, March 13, 2014 (6:30 p.m.)	Joint Dinner Meeting with School Board to Review Draft School Budget – Hamilton Holmes Middle School
Friday, March 21, 2014 (8:30 a.m.)	All Day Work Session - Draft Budget
Monday, March 24, 2014* (7:00 p.m.)	Regular Board Meeting
Wednesday, April 2, 2014	Publication of Public Hearing Notice - Proposed Budget and Tax Levies
Monday, April 21, 2014 (7:00 p.m.)	Public Hearing - Proposed Budget and Tax Levies
Monday, April 28, 2014* (7:00 p.m.)	Adoption of Budget and Tax Levies and Appropriation of Funds

* Regular April meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, does hereby adopt the above listed dates and times, as the FY14-15 Budget Calendar, for budget preparation and adoption.

d. Proposed Ordinance #13-11 – Request for Authorization for Public Hearing – Chapter 2, Article V – Finance, Investment and Funds Options was approved.

Those members voting:

T. S. Stone	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye

RE: PRESENTATIONS TO THE BOARD

There were no presentations to the Board.

RE: OLD BUSINESS

a. Resolution #13-54(R) – Consideration of Conditional Use Permit 03-13,

Applicant: National Communication Towers, LLC, Location: Watkins Property, Tax

Map #22-10, Commins Road, Aylett, VA – Chairman Moskalski called for additional information from Staff.

The County Administrator stated the Staff and the Applicant are available for any questions. He noted an updated memo was provided, along with a revised resolution, which recommends the Alternative 2 location with a lattice type tower. He stated all other conditions are the same as previously presented to the Board.

Chairman Moskalski called for a motion for Resolution #13-54(R).

S. K. Greenwood moved to deny Conditional Use Permit 03-13; motion died due to a lack of a second.

Chairman Moskalski called for any questions from Board members.

S. K. Greenwood asked if the tower can be moved to the end of the Watkins property so it will be far enough away from adjoining properties so it is not visible. He asked for clarification from the Applicant if the land at the back of the property is stable enough to install a tower. He stated he is not aware of anyone that has issues with the tower being taller or lighting on the tower. In his opinion the tower should fit just before the wetlands area on the property.

Mr. Gallagher explained if you move to the lower area of the property part of the parcel lies within the overlay district of Route 360 where towers are not allowed. He said other parts of the parcel are within a wetlands area and have a 100 foot buffer. He said there is a significant reduction in elevation in this area of the parcel and would require a larger tower which in turn would enlarge the area of potential effect. Also a larger tower requires lighting that would be visible to adjacent property owners.

Mr. Schardein explained based on Verizon's needs that placing the tower any further south would not allow them to hand off to the tower in King and Queen.

S. K. Greenwood asked if there is any way to verify his suggested move would not work.

Mr. Gallagher said he believes the County produced a map, independently of everyone else, ruling out pretty much every piece of property except for the very specific area they are working in, more or less. He said the map placed the site on Mr. Watkin's property where a tower is zone able given the overlay, the areas of potential affect, the zone abilities of the parcels and the setback requirements; this was done

independent of all NCT's studies. He said the County agreed essentially almost exactly with the general areas that NCT was working with on property. He said the County has also done an independent due diligence above and beyond what NCT has done and above and beyond the site selection process Verizon Wireless engineers perform even before a parcel is considered a viable site.

S. K. Greenwood stated the Planning Commission also recommended denial of the permit.

Mr. Gallagher said that is correct; with the condition the Applicant considers alternate sites on the parcel. This has been done in two alternate locations, one of which they are requesting for approval tonight that moves the parcel over four times the required minimum setback, currently required by the County. He said this was an additional cost to NCT but felt was a good compromise to move so the tower center point is 200 feet off of the property line, plus or minus.

T. S. Stone said she has heard from the nearby landowners they would like to see at least a restriction to allow only one tower on this site. She said she can understand the fear this is going to become a tower farm. She asked if there is an opportunity to add that.

Mr. Gallagher stated the tower is, for many reasons, designed to accommodate six co-locations specifically to prevent a tower farm. He said this also makes good business sense because the cost of building another tower generates less revenue. He said NCT's contract with Mr. Watkins specifically prohibits any towers within a five mile radius of the proposed tower; steps have already been taken to prevent a tower farm from occurring on this site.

T. S. Stone asked if the Board could include some assurance for the adjoining property owners.

The County Attorney stated the Board is only approving one tower; if another tower is requested the same process would have to be followed.

T. S. Stone asked for clarification the tower will be in a fenced area.

Mr. Gallagher said there will be a six foot tall fence to include three strands of barbed wire on the top, and fence will be locked.

Ms. Stone asked for clarification the required soil sample submitted with this application is for this site.

The County Administrator feels the title information on the soil test document is a cut and paste error.

Mr. Gallagher confirmed the soil tests for this site have been registered with the FFA and FCC, giving the exact location of the tower. He said NCT has also submitted a geotechnical report that is stamped by professional engineers attesting to the soil conditions. He admitted this is a bit embarrassing and agreed with the County Administrator that this is a cut and pasting error in the document. He apologized for the error and said this document will be corrected for site plan approval.

C. T. Redd III asked for clarification the alternate site has been approved by the adjoining property owners.

Mr. Gallagher said to his knowledge NCT has responded to Mr. Schardein on all proposed alternate sites.

The County Administrator stated the alternate site Mr. Redd is referring to is more of an inland site.

S. K. Greenwood added the Applicant has answered the questions of the County; the residents are saying they are not getting questions answered.

O. O. Williams said he has done quite a bit of internet research on this subject in the past few weeks and understands there are different coverage areas and different carriers using these towers; Verizon Wireless does not use all towers.

T. S. Stone moved that the King William County Board of Supervisors approve Resolution #13-54(R); motion was seconded by C. T. Redd III.

RESOLUTION #13-54(R)
A RESOLUTION APPROVING CUP-03-13 – AYLETT TOWER

WHEREAS, Section 86-171 of the King William County Code provides for the development of communications towers associated with properties of the A-C, Agricultural-Conservation zoning district following review and approval of a Conditional Use Permit; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on August 20, 2013, to consider the application of National Communication Towers, LLC submitted on behalf of property owner Charles S. Watkins, IV, CUP-03-13, to construct a communications tower on property located on Commins Road (County Parcel 22-10) and, following such public hearing at a regular meeting on September 17, 2013 voted to recommend the Board of Supervisors deny such application for the original proposed location, advising staff and the applicant to work to find alternate locations on-site which would reduce the visibility of the tower to adjacent properties; and

WHEREAS, the applicant proposed an alternative location for siting the tower on the above referenced property known as Aylett Alt 2; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors conducted a public hearing on October 28, 2013 to consider CUP-03-13; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the King William County Board of Supervisors this 25th day of November 2013, hereby approves CUP-03-13 with the following conditions:

- 1) This approval is for a tower in the location known as Aylett Alt 2 as shown on the map titled Proposed Alternate Aylett Tower Site Locations.
- 2) All ground equipment, including but not limited to, fencing, pads, buildings or electrical equipment shall be fully screened from view from adjacent properties, either by existing trees or vegetation, or by new evergreen plantings.
- 3) The existing tree and vegetation buffer between the tower site and tax map parcels 22A-2-11, 22A-2-11A, 22A-2-12, 22A-2-12A, 22A-2-13, 22A-2-13A, 22A-2-14 and 22A-2-14A shall remain undisturbed.
- 4) The tower shall be limited to a maximum total height of 199 feet, including any lightning rods, antennas or accessory equipment.
- 5) The tower shall be constructed using a lattice design.
- 6) Unless required by State or Federal agencies, the tower shall not be lit, neither day nor night.
- 7) If the tower is abandoned or unused for communication purposes for a period exceeding two years, the tower and any accessory structures shall be removed, at the County's request, from the property within 90 days, at the cost of the owner.
- 8) National Communication Towers, LLC. (NCT) shall provide on a reserved basis and at no cost or expense to the County or its political subdivisions (collectively, the "County") space on the tower to the County of not less than 10 feet in radial direction and at a height of at least 80 feet above ground level for the installation by the County, at the County's sole cost and expense, for communications equipment and antennas. NCT shall also make space available for ground equipment supporting such County tower use. NCT shall be able to install its own or third-party antennas and/or equipment located on the same height and/or platform, pass through County space, perform construction and/or take other action as may be necessary or incidental to NCT's ownership or operation of the tower. Such County use shall be consistent with Federal Communications Commission licenses for wireless telecommunications service and be operated in a lawful and proper manner, in accordance with good engineering practices and be compliant with all applicable laws, ordinances, rules and regulations, relating to such operation and use.
- 9) This conditional use permit shall allow for construction work commenced on or before October 31st, 2016, and any such activities which expand the height or the footprint of the tower commenced thereafter shall require a new or amended conditional use permit.
- 10) This permit shall not become effective until a removal bond in the amount of \$25,000 has been approved by the County Attorney, executed, and filed with the Zoning Administrator.

- 11) The radius width shall be limited to three (3) feet for any dish placed on the tower. Antennas placed on the tower shall not extend beyond four (4) feet above the one hundred ninety-five (195) foot tower height.
- 12) The tower and its operations shall comply with all local, state and federal laws and regulations.

Adopted this 25th day of November, 2013

Those members voting:

C. T. Redd III	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Nay
T. S. Stone	Aye
O. O. William	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye

RE: NEW BUSINESS

No new business was brought before the Board.

**RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS – TRENTON L. FUNKHOUSER,
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

The County Administrator had no additional information to report.

**RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF 3
MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC
HEARING MATTERS**

The Chairman opened the Second Public Comment Period.

1. Beatrice Palmer, of 1017 Commins Road in Aylett, stated she is very concerned about information presented on the communication tower tonight. She questioned why we have planning and zoning or why a public hearing is held for citizens to speak out. In her opinion a cell tower in your yard is not something you will get used to. She assured everyone there is coverage in this area and feels this decision is based on the need for more coverage. She said she intends on pursuing this matter further. She thanked the Board for giving citizens the opportunity to speak to this matter.

2. Don Wagner, of the Mangohick district, thanked the Board and Staff for making the draft Board packet and minutes available online for citizen review prior to the Board meetings. He has some concerns with the proposed resolution on the agenda for the conveyance of property to the County.

There being no other persons to appear before the Board the Chairman closed the Second Public Comment Period.

RE: APPOINTMENTS

No appointments.

RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COMMENTS

Chairman Moskalski opened the Board of Supervisors comment period.

All Board members thanked citizens for their participation at the monthly Board meetings and wished everyone a Happy Thanksgiving.

Ms. Stone agrees the planning and zoning ordinance on communication towers should be reviewed and updated.

C. T. Redd III asked everyone to be careful when driving home; he said he came close to hitting a couple of deer on his way to the meeting.

O. O. Williams said the Board had to make a tough decision tonight and agrees the ordinance on communication towers needs to be reviewed and updated.

S. K. Greenwood said he is disappointed in the Board's decision to grant the conditional use permit for the cell tower, even after the Planning Commission recommended denial of the application, and after several citizens of the 3rd District spoke against granting this permit. In his opinion the Planning Commission's time has been wasted on this matter and tax payer dollars have been wasted.

Chairman Moskalski said to further echo some of the comments made by his colleagues he agrees this demonstrates a need for Staff, the Board and the Planning Commission to work on several items; such as the comprehensive plan. He said without these things specifically addressed in the comp plan and ordinances it makes it difficult to change the rules on applicants when they meet zoning requirements; it makes it hard to deny permits. He said if these are the things we want to restrict or have some control over in the future we need to have them in writing and codified; which we do not have right now.

RE: CLOSED MEETING

Motion was made by C. T. Redd III, to convene in a Closed Meeting in accordance with § 2.2-3711(A)(7), of the Code of Virginia, 1) to consult with legal counsel and obtain legal advice regarding the ongoing negotiations with the Town of West Point related to the development of special legislation to authorize a split levy, and 2) in accordance with § 2.2-3711(A)(3), of the Code of Virginia regarding real

property proposed for a public purpose, specifically pertaining to the acquisition of real property for a part and recreation purposes, where discussion in open session would adversely affect the County's bargaining position or negotiating strategy; and 3) in accordance with § 2.2-2711(A)(7) of the Code of Virginia to consult with legal counsel and obtain legal advice regarding certain County obligations under the Fair Labor Standards Act and associated regulations. The motion was seconded by O. O. Williams, with the following roll call vote:

Those members voting:

S. K. Greenwood	Aye
T. S. Stone	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye

After exiting the Closed Meeting, Chairman Moskalski reconvened the meeting in open session.

Chairman Moskalski called for a motion and roll call vote certifying the closed session.

On motion of C. T. Redd III, seconded by T. S. Stone, the following resolution was adopted:

STANDING RESOLUTION -1 (SR-1)

A RESOLUTION TO CERTIFY COMPLIANCE WITH THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REGARDING MEETING IN CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the King William County Board of Supervisors has convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote, and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and,

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712(D) of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the King William County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors this the 25th day of November, 2013, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge:

1. Only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, by the King William County Board of Supervisors.

2. Only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the King William County Board of Supervisors.

Those members voting:

T. S. Stone	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye

RE: RESOLUTION #13-61 – CONVEYANCE OF REAL PROPERTY TO KING WILLIAM COUNTY FROM RESOURCE CONSERVATION PATNERS, INC.

On motion by T. S. Stone, seconded by S. K. Greenwood, with the following roll call vote, Resolution #13-61 – A Resolution Authorizing the County Administrator to Accept a Deed Conveying Real Property to King William County from Resource Conservation Partners, Inc. was approved:

RESOLUTION #13-61
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
TO ACCEPT A DEED CONVEYING REAL PROPERTY
TO KING WILLIAM COUNTY
FROM RESOURCE CONSERVATION PARTNERS, INC.

WHEREAS, Resolution #11-38, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on November 21, 2011, authorizes the County Administrator to accept deeds conveying real property or interests therein; and

WHEREAS, Resolution #11-38 requires the County Administrator to inform the Board in advance of any conveyances of a non-routine nature (e.g., not a utility easement or utility parcel); and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has been previously informed that Resource Conservation Partners, Inc. wishes to convey approximately 41 acres of land to the County of King William and such land is identified by County Parcel Maps as #28-41A and 28-8-A-15,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the King William County Board of Supervisors this 25th day of November, 2013, that the County Administrator be, and he is hereby, authorized to act on behalf of King William County in accepting any and all deeds purporting to convey any interest in real estate associated with the proposed Deed of Gift by Resource Conservation Partners, Inc. for Parcels 28-41A and 28-8-A-15 and that the County Administrator is authorized to evidence such acceptance by signing a statement of acceptance appearing on the face of any and all such deeds or by signing a separately recorded instrument, provided that any such deed or instrument is approved as to form by the County Attorney or other qualified attorney selected by this Board.

Adopted this 25th day of November, 2013

Those members voting:

O. O. Williams	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye
T. S. Stone	Aye

T. J. Moskalski Aye

RE: RECESS OF MEETING

Meeting was recessed at 10:00 p.m. and will reconvene on December 4, 2013, at 7:00 p.m., in the Board Meeting Room of the County Administration Building, located at 180 Horse Landing Road, King William, VA.

COPY TESTE:

T. J. Moskalski, Chairman
Board of Supervisors

T. L. Funkhouser,
County Administrator
Clerk of the Board